




United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/988,777	11/20/	2001	Pertti Tormala	2880/351	9117		
23838 7	7590	10/03/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON				PHAN	PHAN, HIEU		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				3738	. 0		
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/200)3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
		09/988,777	TORMALA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Hieu Phan	3738					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	el6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	lovember 2001 .						
2a) □	·	s action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
•	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.					
7.5,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
, 	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , , ,					
,-	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	·						
• —	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/988,777

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie 1: figure 1

Specie 2: figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/988,777

Art Unit: 3738

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Zeba Ali on 09/24/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

4-17